

Introduction to the Concept of Free Will

This intuitive understanding faces challenges from two significant philosophical perspectives: determinism and illusionism. Determinism posits that every event or action follows causally from previous events determined by immutable laws of nature; hence there exists no room for freedom in decision-making processes.

On the other hand, Illusionists argue that what we perceive as 'free will' is merely an illusion created by our cognitive faculties. These debates raise profound questions about agency, ethics, justice and selfhood - demanding us to delve deeper into understanding whether 'free will' truly resides within our volitional capacities or if it's merely a comforting illusion we embrace.

The Philosophy of Determinism: An Overview

It's crucial to note that deterministic views differ widely. For instance, hard determinists believe in an unbroken chain of prior occurrences dating back to the inception of the universe. They completely refute the existence of free will on grounds that it's incompatible with such causality principle.

On contrast, soft determinists (or compatibilists), assert both determinism and free will can coexist harmoniously - they reason out that even though our actions might be determined by preceding circumstances; we still exercise 'free will' when acting according to desires and intentions without external coercion.

Arguments Against Free Will: Illusionism Perspective

Illusionists often draw upon neuroscience to support their claims. Studies showcasing how subconscious processes precede conscious decision-making suggest that our perceived 'free choices' are in fact predetermined by neural activity before we become aware of them. By this account, 'free will' appears as an after-the-fact rationalization rather than actual causation of action; thus challenging its very existence and casting it as an illusory construct.

Psychological and Neuroscientific Evidence Supporting Determinism

Cognitive psychologists have found robust evidence of priming effects where exposure to certain stimuli can unconsciously influence subsequent behavior. For instance, words related to elderly people might make someone walk slower without them realizing why. These findings seem consistent with determinism, suggesting our actions might be significantly shaped by subtle environmental influences rather than autonomous free will.

The Social and Ethical Implications of the Absence of Free Will

It brings about an existential predicament as well; if our choices are not truly 'free', then do they hold any real significance? Are our pursuits merely illusory endeavors in a cosmic script already written out for us? It challenges not only how we perceive ourselves but also how we understand and navigate relationships, society, and life at large.

Conclusion: Reconciling Free Will, Determinism, and Illusionism in Modern Society

It's equally important to consider the role that beliefs about 'free will' play in shaping human behavior and society at large. The idea of free choice drives much of our legislation, ethical frameworks and personal conduct - functioning as a crucial component for maintaining social order and encouraging individual accountability. Thusly, irrespective of its philosophical legitimacy or empirical underpinnings - the concept of 'free will', whether real or illusory, undeniably serves pivotal functions within our societies.